UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

LUCIO CALDERON, on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

-against-

GOTHAM DRYWALL, INC., ATLANTIC CONTRACTING OF YONKERS, INC., ATLANTIC DRYWALL CONTRACTING INC., JOEL ACEVEDO, and JOHN FITZPATRICK,

Defendants.

AMENDED COMPLAINT

FLSA COLLECTIVE ACTION & RULE 23 CLASS ACTION

Plaintiff LUCIO CALDERON, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, by and through his attorneys, Harrison, Harrison & Assoc., Ltd, allege upon personal knowledge as to himself and upon information and belief as to other matters, as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. Plaintiff LUCIO CALDERON ("Plaintiff") was employed as full-time non-exempt construction employee of Defendants subject to the wage and overtime provisions of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. § 201 *et. seq.*, and the New York Labor Law ("NYLL").
- 2. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated seeking unpaid wages and unpaid overtime wages based upon Defendants' violations of the FLSA, the NYLL, and the supporting regulations.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. Jurisdiction of this Court over this controversy is based upon 29 U.S.C. § 201 *et. seq.* and 28 U.S.C § 1331.

- 4. This Court has jurisdiction over all state law claims brought in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367, in that they arise out of the same set of operative facts and are so related to Plaintiff's claims under the FLSA that they form part of the same case or controversy.
- 5. Venue is proper within this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391, because Defendants do business in, and accordingly reside in, this District. Venue is further proper within this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred within this District.
- 6. Accordingly, this action properly lies in the Eastern District of New York, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1391.

THE PARTIES

- 7. Plaintiff LUCIO CALDERON is a resident of Queens County, State of New York.
- 8. Plaintiff LUCIO CALDERON was employed by Defendants from in or about the middle of 2016 until January 28, 2019.
- 11. At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff was an "employee" within the meaning of Section 3(e) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(e), and of NYLL § 190(2).
- 12. Defendant GOTHAM DRYWALL, INC ("Gotham") is a New York Domestic Business Corporation with its place of business located at 220 INDIA STREET, BROOKLYN, NEW YORK 11222.
- 13. Defendant ATLANTIC CONTRACTING OF YONKERS, INC. is a New York Corporation with its place of business located at 373 SOUTH BROADWAY, 4B, YONKERS, NEW YORK.

- 14. Defendant ATLANTIC DRYWALL CONTRACTING INC. is a New York Corporation with its place of business located at 373 SOUTH BROADWAY, 4B, YONKERS, NEW YORK.
- 15. Defendants ATLANTIC CONTRACTING OF YONKERS, INC. and ATLANTIC DRYWALL CONTRACTING INC. are referred to herein as the "Atlantic Defendants".
- 16. Defendant JOEL ACEVEDO ("Acevedo") is the owner, chairman/chief executive officer, President and/or Manager of the Atlantic Defendants. Defendant Acevedo has, and at all relevant times had, and exercised, the power to hire, fire, and control the wages and working conditions of the Plaintiff, the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, and the Class Members.
 - 17. Defendant Acevedo hired Plaintiff in 2016.
- 18. Defendant JOHN FITZPATRICK ("Fitzpatrick") is the President of Defendant Gotham. Defendant Fitzpatrick has, at all times relevant had, and exercised, the power to hire, fire, and control the wages and working conditions of the Plaintiff, the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, and the Class Members.
- 19. At all times relevant hereto, each of the Defendants was an "employer" within the meaning of Section 3(d) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d) and NYLL § 190(3).
- 20. At all times relevant hereto, the activities of Defendants constituted an "enterprise" within the meaning of Section 3 (r) & (s) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203 (r) & (s).
- 21. At all times relevant hereto, Defendants employed employees, including Plaintiff, the FLSA Collective Plaintiff, and the Class Members, who regularly engaged

in commerce or the production of goods for commerce or in handling, selling or otherwise working on goods and materials which have moved in or been produced for commerce within the meaning of Section 3(b), (g), (i), and (j) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(b), (g), (i), (j), (r), & (s) (A)(i).

22. At all times relevant hereto, Defendants' annual gross volume of sales made or business done is not less than \$500,000.00 within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 203(s)(A)(ii).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 23. Defendant Gotham identifies itself as a builder "specializing in all aspects of drywall, carpentry and construction management".¹
- 24. Plaintiff, the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, and the Class Members are all construction workers employed by Defendant to work on Defendant's commercial and residential construction projects in the New York metropolitan area.
- 25. At all times relevant hereto, Defendants employed Plaintiff, the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, and the Class Members to work as non-exempt hourly construction workers ("Construction Workers").
- 26. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant Gotham was the general contractor on each of the different projects where Plaintiff, the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, and the Class Members were assigned to work. As such, Defendant Gotham had and used its power to control the work of Plaintiff, the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, and the Class Members.
- 27. Defendant Gotham also often exercised the power to fire Construction Workers. For example, Defendant Gotham through its supervisors fired Plaintiff.

¹ https://gothamdrywallinc.com/about-us/ (visited December 31, 2020).

- 28. Defendant Gotham controlled the scheduling of the Construction Workers. Defendant Gotham's supervisors Johnny (last name unknown) and Nigel (last name unknown) would regularly issue orders to the Construction Workers, at the end of each day, regarding which job site the Construction Workers were to report to the following day, and what hours they were supposed to work.
- 29. Defendant Gotham's supervisors would also often order the Construction Workers to continue working past their scheduled end times, and threatened to terminate their employment if they did not stay late and finish their assigned work, even though it was well past the end of their shift.
- 30. Defendant Gotham also issued to Plaintiff, the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, and the Class Members worker ID badges listing "Gotham Drywall" as the employer for various job sites at which they worked.
- 33. Further, Plaintiff, the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, and the Class Members were all given shirts, jackets, and vests bearing the "Gotham Drywall" name/logo that they were during work hours throughout their employment with Defendants.
- 34. At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff, the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, and the Class Members were paid hourly rates of approximately \$25 per hour, for their work as Construction Workers for Defendants.
- 35. Defendants assigned Plaintiff, the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, and the Class Members to perform various construction related duties.
- 36. Defendants regularly scheduled Plaintiff, the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, and the Class Members to work at least six days per week, although on occasion they

worked seven days a week and on one job that Plaintiff worked – at 846 6th Avenue in Manhattan – he only worked five days a week.

- 37. Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs and the Class Members regularly worked between 54 and 62 hours per week, or more.
- 38. On Mondays through Saturdays, Defendants generally scheduled Plaintiff, the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, and the Class Members to work ten hours a day, often from 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM, with a 30-minute unpaid lunch.
- 39. Plaintiff, the FLSA Collective Plaintiff, and the Class Members regularly worked well beyond their scheduled shifts, especially when there were inspections, emergencies, delays and/or deadlines.
- 40. Defendants did not pay Plaintiff, the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, and the Class Members for all the hours that they worked past the end of their scheduled shifts.
- 41. In addition, Defendants regularly shaved hours off of Plaintiff's, the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs', and the Class Members' total hours worked per week.
- 42. Defendants only paid Plaintiff, the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, and the Class Members for some but not all of the hours they worked for Defendants.
- 43. Thus, Defendants did not compensate Plaintiff, the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, and the Class Members for <u>all</u> the time they worked for Defendants.
- 44. Even in those weeks in which Defendants recorded Plaintiff, the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, and the Class Members as having worked more than forty (40) hours per week, Defendants failed to pay them overtime, at the rate of one and one-half times their regular hourly rate of pay, for the hours they worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a workweek.

- 45. Despite Plaintiff, the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, and the Class Members regularly working more than 40 hours per week, Defendants did not compensate Plaintiff, the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, and the Class Members at the lawful overtime rate of one and one-half times their regular hourly rate of pay for the hours they worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a workweek.
- 46. Defendants failed to keep accurate and sufficient time records as required by Federal and New York State laws.
- 47. Defendants violated NYLL § 195(3) by failing to furnish Plaintiff and the Class Members with an accurate statement with every payment of wages, listing, among other things, hours worked, rates paid, gross wages, deductions and net wages, and an explanation of how such wages were computed.
- 48. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff and the Class Members with the notices required by NYLL §195(1).
- 49. Upon information and belief, Defendants violated NYLL § 195(4) by failing to establish, maintain and preserve, for not less than six (6) years, sufficiently detailed payroll records showing among other things, the hours worked, gross wages, deductions, and net wages for each employee.
- 50. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendants failed to post and/or keep posted a notice explaining the overtime pay rights provided by the FLSA, in violation of 29 C.F.R.§ 516.4.
- 51. As a result of Defendants' failure to record, credit, and/or compensate Plaintiff and the Collective Action Members for the full number of hours they worked for Defendants, Defendants have failed to make, keep, and preserve records with respect to

each of its employees sufficient to determine the wages, hours, and other conditions and practices of employment in violation, of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, *et seq.*, including 29 U.S.C. §§ 207(a)(1) and 215(a).

- 52. Defendants' record keeping and notice violations prevented Plaintiff, the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, and the Class Members from knowing their legal rights and from figuring out exactly how many hours Defendants failed to compensate them for.
- 53. Defendants knew of, and/or showed reckless disregard for, the practices by which Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees of Defendants were not paid wages for all of their hours worked <u>and</u> overtime premiums for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a workweek. Defendants knew that the nonpayment of their wages and overtime premiums would economically injure Plaintiff, the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, and the Class Members, and that they violated the FLSA and the NYLL.
- 54. Defendants committed the foregoing acts knowingly, intentionally and willfully against Plaintiff, the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, and the Class Members.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 55. Plaintiff brings the First Claim for Relief as a collective action pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), on behalf of all persons employed by Defendants as a Construction Worker, or similar non-exempt employee, during the three years prior to the filing of the original Complaint in this case as defined herein. All said persons, including Plaintiff, are referred to herein as the "FLSA Collective Plaintiffs".
- 56. At all relevant times, Plaintiff and the other FLSA Collective Plaintiffs are and have been similarly situated, have had substantially similar job requirements, job duties and pay provisions, and are and have been subject to Defendants' decision, policy, plan,

practice, procedure, routine, and rules that willfully fails and refuses to pay them the legally required overtime premium for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a workweek. The claims of Plaintiff herein are essentially the same as those of the other FLSA Collective Plaintiffs.

- 57. Other Construction Workers and similar non-exempt employees currently or formerly employed by Defendants should have the opportunity to have their claims for violations of the FLSA heard. Certifying this action as a collective action under the FLSA will provide other Construction Workers and similar non-exempt employees with notice of this action and allow them to opt into the action if they so choose.
- 58. The First Claim for Relief is properly brought under and maintained as an opt-in collective action pursuant to §216(b) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. 216(b). The FLSA Collective Plaintiffs are readily ascertainable. For purpose of notice and other purposes related to this action, their names and addresses are readily available from Defendants. Notice can be provided to the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs via first class mail to the last addresses known to Defendants and/or other permissible methods calculated to reach the member of the FLSA Collective.

RULE 23 CLASS ALLEGATIONS – NYLL

59. Plaintiff brings the Second and Third Claims for Relief pursuant to the Fed. R. Civ. P. ("FRCP") Rule 23, to recover unpaid wages, unpaid overtime pay, and other damages on behalf of all individuals employed in the State of New York by Defendants as Construction Workers and/or similar non-exempt employees, at any time during the six years prior to the filing of the original Complaint in this case as defined herein (the "Class

Period"). All said persons, including Plaintiff, are referred to herein as the "Class Members" and/or the "Class".

- 60. The number, names and addresses of the Class Members are readily ascertainable from the records of the Defendants. The dates of employment and the rates of pay for each Class Member, the hours assigned and worked, and the wages paid to them, are also determinable from the records that Defendants are required to maintain. Notice can be provided by means permissible under FRCP Rule 23.
- 61. The proposed Class is so numerous that joinder of all Class Members is impracticable, and the disposition of their claims as a Class will benefit the parties and the Court. While the precise number of such persons is unknown to Plaintiff and is presently within the sole control of Defendants, Plaintiff believes that through discovery they will obtain evidence to establish that there are at least two hundred members of the Class.
- 62. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class Members, and the relief sought is typical of the relief which would be sought by each Class Member in separate actions. All the Class Members were subject to the same corporate practices of Defendants, in that they were not properly compensated for all hours worked and for all overtime hours worked as required by the NYLL and 12 NYCRR § 142-2.2, and in that Defendants failed to provide them with proper notices and wage statements as required by NYLL §195. Defendants' corporate-wide policies and practices affected all Class Members similarly, and Defendants benefited from the same type of unfair and/or wrongful acts as to each Class Member.
- 63. As fellow employees of Defendants, which failed to adequately compensate Plaintiff and the members of the Class as required by law, Plaintiff and the other Class

Members sustained similar losses, injuries, and damages arising from the same unlawful policies, practices, and procedures.

- 64. Plaintiff is able to fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class and have no interests antagonistic to the Class. Plaintiff has retained David Harrison, Esq., and Julie Salwen, Esq., of Harrison, Harrison & Associates, competent and experienced employment litigators.
- 65. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of wage and hour litigation such as this controversy where individual class members lack the financial resources to vigorously prosecute a lawsuit against corporate defendants. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously, efficiently, and without the unnecessary duplication of efforts and expense that numerous individual actions engender. Because the losses, injuries, and damages suffered by each of the individual Class Members are relatively small in the sense pertinent to a class action analysis, the expenses and burden of individual litigation would make it extremely difficult or impossible for the individual Class Members to redress the wrongs done to them. On the other hand, important public interests will be served by addressing the matter as a class action. The adjudication of individual litigation claims would result in a great expenditure of Court and public resources; however, treating the claims as a class action would result in a significant saving of these costs. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the Class would create a risk of inconsistent and/or varying adjudications with respect to the individual members of the Class, establishing incompatible standards of conduct for Defendants and resulting in the impairment of Class Members' rights and the

disposition of their interests through actions to which they were not parties. The issues in this action can be decided by means of common, class-wide proof. In addition, if appropriate, the Court can, and is empowered to, fashion methods to efficiently manage this action as a class action.

- 66. Upon information and belief, employees of Defendants in these types of actions are often afraid to individually assert their rights out of fear of direct or indirect retaliation and former employees are fearful of bringing individual claims because they fear that doing so could harm their employment, future employment, and future efforts to secure employment. A class action provides Class Members who are not named in the Complaint a degree of anonymity which allows for the vindication of their rights while eliminating or reducing these risks.
- 67. The questions of law and fact common to the Class predominate over any questions affecting only individual Class Members, including: (a) whether Defendants failed to adequately compensate the Class Members for all hours worked and for all overtime hours worked as required by the NYLL and 12 NYCRR § 142-2.2, (b) whether Defendants provided Class Members with the notices required by NYLL § 195(1) and whether Defendants provided Class Members with the detailed wage statements required by NYLL § 195(3).
- 68. Absent a class action, many of the Class Members likely will not obtain redress of their injuries and Defendants will retain the proceeds of their violations of the NYLL.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Failure to Pay Overtime Wages – FLSA, Brought by Plaintiff on Behalf of Himself and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs)

- 69. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the FLSA Collective members, realleges and incorporates by reference all previous paragraphs as if they were set forth again herein.
- 70. Throughout the statute of limitations period covered by these claims, Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective Plaintiff regularly worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a workweek.
- 71. At all relevant times, Defendants willfully, regularly, repeatedly and knowingly failed to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs the required overtime wages for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a workweek.
- 72. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, seeks damages in the amount of their respective unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated (double) damages as provided by the FLSA for overtime violations, attorneys' fees and costs, post-judgment interest, and such other legal and equitable relief as this Court deems just and proper.
- 73. Because Defendants' violations of the FLSA have been willful, and because Defendants failed to post the notices required by the FLSA, the three-year statute of limitations pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 255 should apply.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Failure to Pay Wages & Overtime Wages – NYLL, Brought by Plaintiff on Behalf of Himself and the Class Members)

- 74. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the Class Members, realleges and incorporates by reference all previous paragraphs as if they were set forth again herein.
- 75. It is unlawful under New York law for an employer to suffer or permit an employee to work without compensation for all hours worked.

- 76. It is unlawful under New York law for an employer to suffer or permit a non-exempt employee to work without paying overtime at the required rate for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in any workweek.
- 77. Throughout the Class Period, Defendants willfully, regularly, repeatedly and knowingly failed to pay Plaintiff and the Class Members for all hours worked.
- 78. Throughout the Class Period, Defendants willfully, regularly, repeatedly and knowingly failed to pay Plaintiff and the Class Members at the required overtime rates for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a workweek.
- 79. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' unlawful conduct, as set forth herein, Plaintiff and the Class Members have sustained damages, including loss of earnings, in an amount to be established at trial.
- 80. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the Class Members, seeks damages in the amount of their respective unpaid wages, unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated damages, pre-judgment interest at the statutory rate, attorneys' fees and costs, pursuant to the NYLL, post-judgment interest, and such other legal and equitable relief as this Court deems just and proper.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Notice Violations, Record Keeping, & Wage Statement Violations – NYLL §195, Brought by Plaintiff on Behalf of Himself and the Class Members)

- 81. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the Class Members, realleges and incorporates by reference all previous paragraphs as if they were set forth again herein.
- 82. Defendants have willfully failed to supply Plaintiff and the Class Members with notices as required by NYLL § 195(1), in English or in the languages identified by Plaintiff and each Class Member as his/her primary language, containing their rate or rates

of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; hourly rate or rates of pay and overtime rate or rates of pay if applicable; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage, including tip, meal, or lodging allowances; the regular pay day designated by the employer in accordance with NYLL § 191; the name of the employer; any "doing business as" names used by the employer; the physical address of the employer's main office or principal place of business, and a mailing address if different; the telephone number of the employer; plus such other information as the commissioner deems material and necessary.

- 83. Defendants have willfully failed to supply Plaintiff and each Class Member with an accurate statement of wages as required by NYLL § 195(3), containing the dates of work covered by that payment of wages; name of employee; name of employer; address and phone number of employer; rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; gross wages; hourly rate or rates of pay and overtime rate or rates of pay if applicable; the number of hours worked, including overtime hours worked if applicable; deductions; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage; and net wages.
- 84. Defendants never provided Plaintiff and the members of the Class with an accurate statement of the number of hours that they worked each week.
- 85. Defendants violated NYLL § 195(1) and (3) and consequently owe Plaintiff and the members of the Class statutory damages as specified by NYLL § 198.
- 86. Due to Defendants' violations of the NYLL, Plaintiff and the Class Members are entitled to recover statutory damages as provided for by NYLL § 198(1)-d,

and NYLL § 198(1)-b, as well as reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, injunctive and declaratory relief.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself, the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, and the Class Members, pray for relief as follows:

- (a) Designation of this action as a collective action on behalf of the FLSA Collective Plaintiff and prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all similarly situated members of the FLSA opt-in class, apprising them of the pendency of this action, and permitting them to assert timely FLSA claims in this action by filing individual Consent to Sue forms pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);
- (b) Certification of this action as a class action;
- (c) Designation of the Named Plaintiff as the Representatives of the FLSA

 Collective Plaintiff and Class Representatives of the Class;
- (d) An award of damages, according to proof, including FLSA and NYLL liquidated damages, statutory damages, and interest, to be paid by Defendants;
- (e) Costs of action incurred herein, including expert fees;
- (f) Attorneys' fees, including fees pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216, N.Y. Lab. L. §§ 663, 198 and other applicable statutes;
- (g) Pre-Judgment and post-judgment interest, as provided by law; and
- (h) Such other and further legal and equitable relief as this Court deems necessary, just and proper.

Dated: December 31, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

HARRISON, HARRISON & ASSOCIATES

/S/ DAVID HARRISON____

David Harrison dharrison@nynjemploymentlaw.com Julie Salwen jsalwen@nynjemploymentlaw.com 110 State Highway 35, Suite 10 Red Bank, NJ 07701 (718) 799-9111 Phone (718) 799-9171 Fax Attorneys for Plaintiff, Proposed Collective Action Plaintiff and Proposed Class Members

EXHIBIT "A"

I am a current or former employee of <u>Gotham Drywall Inc. and Atlantic</u> <u>Contracting of Yonkers Inc.</u> and related entities/ individuals. I hereby consent and agree to be a party Plaintiff in this Action to seek redress for violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. 216(b).

I hereby designate Harrison, Harrison & Associates, Ltd. to represent me in this Action and I also consent and agree, if such is necessary, to file this claim on behalf of all others similarly situated.

Signed this $\mathbb{Z}/$ day of $\mathbb{Z}/$, 2020.

Signature

Lucio Lara Calderon Full Legal Name (print)